

Lipschitz-free spaces over unit spheres and the Metric Approximation Property

Richard Smith

joint with Filip Talimdjioski

University College Dublin, Ireland

17 March 2022

Lipschitz-free Banach spaces

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space having distinguished point x_0 . Define $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ to be the space of all Lipschitz functions $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that vanish at x_0 , with norm

$$\|f\| := \text{Lip}(f) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} : x, y \in M, x \neq y \right\}.$$

Lipschitz-free Banach spaces

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space having distinguished point x_0 . Define $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ to be the space of all Lipschitz functions $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that vanish at x_0 , with norm

$$\|f\| := \text{Lip}(f) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} : x, y \in M, x \neq y \right\}.$$

Definition

Given $x \in M$, define $\delta_x \in \text{Lip}_0(M)^*$ by $\delta_x(f) = f(x)$, $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$.

Lipschitz-free Banach spaces

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space having distinguished point x_0 . Define $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ to be the space of all Lipschitz functions $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that vanish at x_0 , with norm

$$\|f\| := \text{Lip}(f) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} : x, y \in M, x \neq y \right\}.$$

Definition

Given $x \in M$, define $\delta_x \in \text{Lip}_0(M)^*$ by $\delta_x(f) = f(x)$, $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$. We define the **Lipschitz-free** Banach space over M to be

$$\mathcal{F}(M) = \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|} (\delta_x)_{x \in M} \subseteq \text{Lip}_0(M)^*.$$

Lipschitz-free Banach spaces

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space having distinguished point x_0 . Define $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ to be the space of all Lipschitz functions $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that vanish at x_0 , with norm

$$\|f\| := \text{Lip}(f) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} : x, y \in M, x \neq y \right\}.$$

Definition

Given $x \in M$, define $\delta_x \in \text{Lip}_0(M)^*$ by $\delta_x(f) = f(x)$, $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$. We define the **Lipschitz-free** Banach space over M to be

$$\mathcal{F}(M) = \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|}(\delta_x)_{x \in M} \subseteq \text{Lip}_0(M)^*.$$

Fact

$$\mathcal{F}(M)^* \equiv \text{Lip}_0(M).$$

Approximation properties

Definition

A Banach space X has

- 1 the **approximation property (AP)** if, given $K \subseteq X$ compact and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite-rank operator T on X such that $\|Tx - x\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in K$;

Approximation properties

Definition

A Banach space X has

- 1 the **approximation property (AP)** if, given $K \subseteq X$ compact and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite-rank operator T on X such that $\|Tx - x\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in K$;
- 2 the **λ -bounded approximation property (λ -BAP)** if T above can always be chosen to satisfy $\|T\| \leq \lambda$;

Approximation properties

Definition

A Banach space X has

- 1 the **approximation property (AP)** if, given $K \subseteq X$ compact and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite-rank operator T on X such that $\|Tx - x\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in K$;
- 2 the **λ -bounded approximation property (λ -BAP)** if T above can always be chosen to satisfy $\|T\| \leq \lambda$;
- 3 the **metric approximation property (MAP)** if it has the 1-BAP.

Approximation properties

Definition

A Banach space X has

- 1 the **approximation property (AP)** if, given $K \subseteq X$ compact and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite-rank operator T on X such that $\|Tx - x\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in K$;
- 2 the **λ -bounded approximation property (λ -BAP)** if T above can always be chosen to satisfy $\|T\| \leq \lambda$;
- 3 the **metric approximation property (MAP)** if it has the 1-BAP.

Proposition

X has the λ -BAP if and only if $I \in \lambda \overline{B}^{\text{SOT}}$, where B is the unit ball of the space of finite-rank operators on X .

Approximation properties

Definition

A Banach space X has

- 1 the **approximation property (AP)** if, given $K \subseteq X$ compact and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite-rank operator T on X such that $\|Tx - x\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in K$;
- 2 the **λ -bounded approximation property (λ -BAP)** if T above can always be chosen to satisfy $\|T\| \leq \lambda$;
- 3 the **metric approximation property (MAP)** if it has the 1-BAP.

Proposition

X has the λ -BAP if and only if $1 \in \lambda \overline{B}^{\text{SOT}}$, where B is the unit ball of the space of finite-rank operators on X .

In particular, if there is a sequence $(F_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of finite-rank operators satisfying $\|F_n\| \rightarrow 1$ and $\|F_n x - x\| \rightarrow 0$ for all x , then X has the MAP.

Free spaces and approximation properties

MAP Theorems

$\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the MAP if

- 1 $M = X$ is a finite-dimensional normed space (Godefroy, Kalton 03);

Free spaces and approximation properties

MAP Theorems

$\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the MAP if

- 1 $M = X$ is a finite-dimensional normed space (Godefroy, Kalton 03);
- 2 $M = (\ell_1, \|\cdot\|_1)$ (Lancien, Pernecká 13);

Free spaces and approximation properties

MAP Theorems

$\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the MAP if

- 1 $M = X$ is a finite-dimensional normed space (Godefroy, Kalton 03);
- 2 $M = (\ell_1, \|\cdot\|_1)$ (Lancien, Pernecká 13);
- 3 M is a countable perfect metric space (Dalet 15);

Free spaces and approximation properties

MAP Theorems

$\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the MAP if

- 1 $M = X$ is a finite-dimensional normed space (Godefroy, Kalton 03);
- 2 $M = (\ell_1, \|\cdot\|_1)$ (Lancien, Pernecká 13);
- 3 M is a countable perfect metric space (Dalet 15);
- 4 M is a separable ultrametric space (Cuth, Doucha 16);

Free spaces and approximation properties

MAP Theorems

$\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the MAP if

- 1 $M = X$ is a finite-dimensional normed space (Godefroy, Kalton 03);
- 2 $M = (\ell_1, \|\cdot\|_1)$ (Lancien, Pernecká 13);
- 3 M is a countable perfect metric space (Dalet 15);
- 4 M is a separable ultrametric space (Cuth, Doucha 16);
- 5 M is a compact group with a left-invariant metric (Doucha, Kaufmann 20);

Free spaces and approximation properties

MAP Theorems

$\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the MAP if

- 1 $M = X$ is a finite-dimensional normed space (Godefroy, Kalton 03);
- 2 $M = (\ell_1, \|\cdot\|_1)$ (Lancien, Pernecká 13);
- 3 M is a countable perfect metric space (Dalet 15);
- 4 M is a separable ultrametric space (Cuth, Doucha 16);
- 5 M is a compact group with a left-invariant metric (Doucha, Kaufmann 20);
- 6 M is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^N that is purely 1-unrectifiable (Aliaga, Gartland, Petitjean, Procházka 22).

Free spaces and approximation properties

BAP Theorems

- 1 A Banach space X has the λ -BAP if and only if $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has the λ -BAP (Godefroy, Kalton 03).

Free spaces and approximation properties

BAP Theorems

- 1 A Banach space X has the λ -BAP if and only if $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has the λ -BAP (Godefroy, Kalton 03).
- 2 There is $C > 0$ such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the $C\sqrt{N}$ -BAP whenever $M \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_2)$ (Lancien, Pernecká 13).

Free spaces and approximation properties

BAP Theorems

- 1 A Banach space X has the λ -BAP if and only if $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has the λ -BAP (Godefroy, Kalton 03).
- 2 There is $C > 0$ such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the $C\sqrt{N}$ -BAP whenever $M \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_2)$ (Lancien, Pernecká 13).

Question (Godefroy 15)

If $M \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|)$ does $\mathcal{F}(M)$ have the MAP or λ -BAP (independent of N)?

Free spaces and approximation properties

BAP Theorems

- 1 A Banach space X has the λ -BAP if and only if $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has the λ -BAP (Godefroy, Kalton 03).
- 2 There is $C > 0$ such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the $C\sqrt{N}$ -BAP whenever $M \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_2)$ (Lancien, Pernecká 13).

Question (Godefroy 15)

If $M \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|)$ does $\mathcal{F}(M)$ have the MAP or λ -BAP (independent of N)?

Failure of AP Theorems

- 1 There is a compact convex subset M of a Banach space X , such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ fails the AP (Godefroy, Ozawa 14).

Free spaces and approximation properties

BAP Theorems

- 1 A Banach space X has the λ -BAP if and only if $\mathcal{F}(X)$ has the λ -BAP (Godefroy, Kalton 03).
- 2 There is $C > 0$ such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the $C\sqrt{N}$ -BAP whenever $M \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_2)$ (Lancien, Pernecká 13).

Question (Godefroy 15)

If $M \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|)$ does $\mathcal{F}(M)$ have the MAP or λ -BAP (independent of N)?

Failure of AP Theorems

- 1 There is a compact convex subset M of a Banach space X , such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ fails the AP (Godefroy, Ozawa 14).
- 2 There is a compact space M homeomorphic to the Cantor set, such that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ fails the AP (Hájek, Lancien, Pernecká 16)

The MAP and $\mathcal{F}(M)$, where $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$

Consider $M \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|)$ with distinguished point x_0 . As $\mathcal{F}(M) \equiv \mathcal{F}(\overline{M})$, we assume M is closed.

The MAP and $\mathcal{F}(M)$, where $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$

Consider $M \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|)$ with distinguished point x_0 . As $\mathcal{F}(M) \equiv \mathcal{F}(\overline{M})$, we assume M is closed.

Theorem A (Pernecká, Smith 15)

Let M be compact and have the property that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\hat{M} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ and an ‘almost retraction’ $\Psi : \hat{M} \rightarrow M$, such that

$$M \subseteq \text{int}(\hat{M}), \quad \|\cdot\| - \text{Lip}(\Psi) \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|x - \Psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in \hat{M}.$$

Then $\mathcal{F}(M, \|\cdot\|)$ has the MAP.

The MAP and $\mathcal{F}(M)$, where $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$

Consider $M \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|)$ with distinguished point x_0 . As $\mathcal{F}(M) \equiv \mathcal{F}(\overline{M})$, we assume M is closed.

Theorem A (Pernecká, Smith 15)

Let M be compact and have the property that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\hat{M} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ and an ‘almost retraction’ $\Psi : \hat{M} \rightarrow M$, such that

$$M \subseteq \text{int}(\hat{M}), \quad \|\cdot\| - \text{Lip}(\Psi) \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|x - \Psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in \hat{M}.$$

Then $\mathcal{F}(M, \|\cdot\|)$ has the MAP.

- We ‘fatten’ M in order to mollify elements of $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ with convolutions.

The MAP and $\mathcal{F}(M)$, where $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$

Consider $M \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|)$ with distinguished point x_0 . As $\mathcal{F}(M) \equiv \mathcal{F}(\overline{M})$, we assume M is closed.

Theorem A (Pernecká, Smith 15)

Let M be compact and have the property that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\hat{M} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ and an ‘almost retraction’ $\Psi : \hat{M} \rightarrow M$, such that

$$M \subseteq \text{int}(\hat{M}), \quad \|\cdot\| - \text{Lip}(\Psi) \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|x - \Psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in \hat{M}.$$

Then $\mathcal{F}(M, \|\cdot\|)$ has the MAP.

- We ‘fatten’ M in order to mollify elements of $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ with convolutions.
- Theorem A applies to ‘**locally downwards closed**’ compact sets M . This means that, locally, the boundary of M is (under a change of coordinates) the graph of a function from \mathbb{R}^{N-1} to \mathbb{R} .

The MAP and $\mathcal{F}(M)$, where $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$

Consider $M \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|)$ with distinguished point x_0 . As $\mathcal{F}(M) \equiv \mathcal{F}(\overline{M})$, we assume M is closed.

Theorem A (Pernecká, Smith 15)

Let M be compact and have the property that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\hat{M} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ and an ‘almost retraction’ $\Psi : \hat{M} \rightarrow M$, such that

$$M \subseteq \text{int}(\hat{M}), \quad \|\cdot\| - \text{Lip}(\Psi) \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|x - \Psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in \hat{M}.$$

Then $\mathcal{F}(M, \|\cdot\|)$ has the MAP.

- We ‘fatten’ M in order to mollify elements of $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ with convolutions.
- Theorem A applies to ‘**locally downwards closed**’ compact sets M . This means that, locally, the boundary of M is (under a change of coordinates) the graph of a function from \mathbb{R}^{N-1} to \mathbb{R} .
- By Theorem A, if M is compact and convex then $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the MAP with respect to any norm.

The failure of Theorem A for unit spheres

On \mathbb{R}^3 , pick a norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $X := \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ is not 1-complemented with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

The failure of Theorem A for unit spheres

On \mathbb{R}^3 , pick a norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $X := \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ is not 1-complemented with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

Pick a C^∞ -smooth norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^2 \times \{1\} \subseteq S := S_{(\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|)}$.

The failure of Theorem A for unit spheres

On \mathbb{R}^3 , pick a norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $X := \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ is not 1-complemented with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

Pick a C^∞ -smooth norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^2 \times \{1\} \subseteq S := S_{(\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|)}$.

Also define $C = [0, 1]^2 \times \{0\}$ and $D = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^2 \times \{0\} \subseteq X$.

The failure of Theorem A for unit spheres

On \mathbb{R}^3 , pick a norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $X := \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ is not 1-complemented with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

Pick a C^∞ -smooth norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^2 \times \{1\} \subseteq S := S_{(\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|)}$.

Also define $C = [0, 1]^2 \times \{0\}$ and $D = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^2 \times \{0\} \subseteq X$.

Assume that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\hat{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\Psi : \hat{S} \rightarrow S$, such that

$$S \subseteq \text{int}(\hat{S}), \quad \|\cdot\| - \text{Lip}(\Psi) \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|x - \Psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in \hat{S}.$$

The failure of Theorem A for unit spheres

On \mathbb{R}^3 , pick a norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $X := \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ is not 1-complemented with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

Pick a C^∞ -smooth norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^2 \times \{1\} \subseteq S := S_{(\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|)}$.

Also define $C = [0, 1]^2 \times \{0\}$ and $D = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^2 \times \{0\} \subseteq X$.

Assume that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\hat{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\Psi : \hat{S} \rightarrow S$, such that

$$S \subseteq \text{int}(\hat{S}), \quad \|\cdot\| - \text{Lip}(\Psi) \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|\|x - \Psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \hat{S}.$$

Then for all small enough $\varepsilon > 0$, by translation, there exists open $U \supseteq C$ and $\psi : U \rightarrow D$ such that

$$\|\cdot\| - \text{Lip}(\psi) \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|\|x - \psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in U.$$

The failure of Theorem A for unit spheres

On \mathbb{R}^3 , pick a norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $X := \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ is not 1-complemented with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

Pick a C^∞ -smooth norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^2 \times \{1\} \subseteq S := S_{(\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|)}$.

Also define $C = [0, 1]^2 \times \{0\}$ and $D = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^2 \times \{0\} \subseteq X$.

Assume that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\hat{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\Psi : \hat{S} \rightarrow S$, such that

$$S \subseteq \text{int}(\hat{S}), \quad \|\cdot\| - \text{Lip}(\Psi) \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|x - \Psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in \hat{S}.$$

Then for all small enough $\varepsilon > 0$, by translation, there exists open $U \supseteq C$ and $\Psi : U \rightarrow D$ such that

$$\|\cdot\| - \text{Lip}(\Psi) \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|x - \Psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in U.$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, Ψ and U , by Rademacher's Theorem $\Psi'(x)$ exists a.a. $x \in U$. We have $\|\Psi'(x)\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$, and $\text{ran } \Psi'(x) \subseteq X$ because $D \subseteq X$.

The failure of Theorem A for unit spheres

On \mathbb{R}^3 , pick a norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $X := \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ is not 1-complemented with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

Pick a C^∞ -smooth norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^2 \times \{1\} \subseteq S := S_{(\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|)}$.

Also define $C = [0, 1]^2 \times \{0\}$ and $D = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^2 \times \{0\} \subseteq X$.

Assume that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\hat{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\Psi : \hat{S} \rightarrow S$, such that

$$S \subseteq \text{int}(\hat{S}), \quad \|\cdot\| - \text{Lip}(\Psi) \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|x - \Psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in \hat{S}.$$

Then for all small enough $\varepsilon > 0$, by translation, there exists open $U \supseteq C$ and $\Psi : U \rightarrow D$ such that

$$\|\cdot\| - \text{Lip}(\Psi) \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|x - \Psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in U.$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, Ψ and U , by Rademacher's Theorem $\Psi'(x)$ exists a.a. $x \in U$. We have $\|\Psi'(x)\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$, and $\text{ran } \Psi'(x) \subseteq X$ because $D \subseteq X$.

Let $a > 0$ such that $[0, 1]^2 \times [-a, a] \subseteq U$ and define linear $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow X$ by

$$T = \frac{1}{2a} \int_{-a}^a \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Psi'(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3.$$

The failure of Theorem A for unit spheres

We have $\|T\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and, using the property $\|x - \Psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in U$, it can be shown that

$$\|T(1, 0, 0) - (1, 0, 0)\|, \|T(0, 1, 0) - (0, 1, 0)\| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

The failure of Theorem A for unit spheres

We have $\|T\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and, using the property $\|x - \Psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in U$, it can be shown that

$$\|T(1, 0, 0) - (1, 0, 0)\|, \|T(0, 1, 0) - (0, 1, 0)\| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a linear map $T_n : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|T_n\| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_n(1, 0, 0) - (1, 0, 0)\|, \|T_n(0, 1, 0) - (0, 1, 0)\| \leq \frac{2}{n}.$$

The failure of Theorem A for unit spheres

We have $\|T\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and, using the property $\|x - \Psi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in U$, it can be shown that

$$\|T(1, 0, 0) - (1, 0, 0)\|, \|T(0, 1, 0) - (0, 1, 0)\| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a linear map $T_n : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|T_n\| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_n(1, 0, 0) - (1, 0, 0)\|, \|T_n(0, 1, 0) - (0, 1, 0)\| \leq \frac{2}{n}.$$

By compactness, there exists $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow X$ such that $\|T\| = 1$ and $T|_X$ is the identity on X , but this contradicts the assumption that X is not 1-complemented with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

The MAP and unit spheres

Theorem B (Talimdjioski, Smith 22)

Let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\!\|\!\cdot\!\!\|$ be norms on \mathbb{R}^N with $\|\cdot\|$ C^1 -smooth, and let $S = S_{(\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|)}$ (with arbitrary distinguished point x_0). Then $\mathcal{F}(S, \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\!\|)$ has the MAP.

The MAP and unit spheres

Theorem B (Talimdjioski, Smith 22)

Let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!$ be norms on \mathbb{R}^N with $\|\cdot\|$ C^1 -smooth, and let $S = S_{(\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|)}$ (with arbitrary distinguished point x_0). Then $\mathcal{F}(S, \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\!\|)$ has the MAP.

To prove this we work mostly in $\text{Lip}_0(S, \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\!\|)$. Outline of the proof:

The MAP and unit spheres

Theorem B (Talimdjioski, Smith 22)

Let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\!\|\!\cdot\!\!\|$ be norms on \mathbb{R}^N with $\|\cdot\|$ C^1 -smooth, and let $S = S_{(\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|)}$ (with arbitrary distinguished point x_0). Then $\mathcal{F}(S, \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\!\|)$ has the MAP.

To prove this we work mostly in $\text{Lip}_0(S, \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\!\|)$. Outline of the proof:

- 1 Construct mollifier operators $S_n : \text{Lip}_0(S) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(S)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\|S_n(f) - f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\!\| - \text{Lip}(S_n(f)) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n}$$

whenever $\|\!\|\!\cdot\!\!\| - \text{Lip}(f) \leq 1$.

The MAP and unit spheres

Theorem B (Talimdjioski, Smith 22)

Let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!$ be norms on \mathbb{R}^N with $\|\cdot\|$ C^1 -smooth, and let $S = S_{(\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|)}$ (with arbitrary distinguished point x_0). Then $\mathcal{F}(S, \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!)$ has the MAP.

To prove this we work mostly in $\text{Lip}_0(S, \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!)$. Outline of the proof:

- 1 Construct mollifier operators $S_n : \text{Lip}_0(S) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(S)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\|S_n(f) - f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\! - \text{Lip}(S_n(f)) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n}$$

whenever $\|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\! - \text{Lip}(f) \leq 1$.

- 2 Construct a suitable open cover $(U_i)_{i=1}^k$ of S and Lipschitz partition of unity $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^k$ subordinated to the cover.

The MAP and unit spheres

Theorem B (Talimdjioski, Smith 22)

Let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\!\|\!\cdot\|\!\|$ be norms on \mathbb{R}^N with $\|\cdot\|$ C^1 -smooth, and let $S = S_{(\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|)}$ (with arbitrary distinguished point x_0). Then $\mathcal{F}(S, \|\!\|\!\cdot\|\!\|)$ has the MAP.

To prove this we work mostly in $\text{Lip}_0(S, \|\!\|\!\cdot\|\!\|)$. Outline of the proof:

- 1 Construct mollifier operators $S_n : \text{Lip}_0(S) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(S)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\|S_n(f) - f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\!\|\!\cdot\|\!\| - \text{Lip}(S_n(f)) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n}$$

whenever $\|\!\|\!\cdot\|\!\| - \text{Lip}(f) \leq 1$.

- 2 Construct a suitable open cover $(U_i)_{i=1}^k$ of S and Lipschitz partition of unity $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^k$ subordinated to the cover.
- 3 Construct finite-rank operators $P_{n,i} : \text{Lip}_0(S) \rightarrow C(\overline{U_i})$, $i \leq k$, such that

$$\left\| P_{n,i}(S_n(f)) - S_n(f)|_{\overline{U_i}} \right\|_\infty, \quad \|\!\|\!\cdot\|\!\| - \text{Lip}(P_{n,i}(S_n(f)) - S_n(f)|_{\overline{U_i}}) \leq \frac{1}{n},$$

whenever $\|\!\|\!\cdot\|\!\| - \text{Lip}(f) \leq 1$, and $P_{n,i}(S_n(f))(x_0) = 0$ whenever $x_0 \in U_i$.

The MAP and unit spheres

Theorem B (Talimdjioski, Smith 22)

Let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!$ be norms on \mathbb{R}^N with $\|\cdot\|$ C^1 -smooth, and let $S = S_{(\mathbb{R}^N, \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!)}(x_0)$ (with arbitrary distinguished point x_0). Then $\mathcal{F}(S, \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!)$ has the MAP.

To prove this we work mostly in $\text{Lip}_0(S)$. Outline of the proof:

- 1 Define finite-rank operators $Q_n : \text{Lip}_0(S) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(S)$ by

$$Q_n(f)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(x) P_{n,i}(S_n(f))(x), \quad x \in S.$$

The MAP and unit spheres

Theorem B (Talimdjioski, Smith 22)

Let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\!\|\!\cdot\|\!\|\!$ be norms on \mathbb{R}^N with $\|\cdot\|$ C^1 -smooth, and let $S = S_{(\mathbb{R}^N, \|\!\|\!\cdot\|\!\|\!)}(x_0)$ (with arbitrary distinguished point x_0). Then $\mathcal{F}(S, \|\!\|\!\cdot\|\!\|\!)$ has the MAP.

To prove this we work mostly in $\text{Lip}_0(S)$. Outline of the proof:

- Define finite-rank operators $Q_n : \text{Lip}_0(S) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(S)$ by

$$Q_n(f)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(x) P_{n,i}(S_n(f))(x), \quad x \in S.$$

- By (2) and (3), there is a constant H (independent of n), such that

$$\|Q_n(f) - f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\!\|\!\cdot\|\!\|\! - \text{Lip}(Q_n(f)) \leq 1 + \frac{H}{n}$$

whenever $\|\!\|\!\cdot\|\!\|\! - \text{Lip}(f) \leq 1$.

The MAP and unit spheres

Theorem B (Talimdjioski, Smith 22)

Let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!$ be norms on \mathbb{R}^N with $\|\cdot\|$ C^1 -smooth, and let $S = S_{(\mathbb{R}^N, \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!)}(x_0)$ (with arbitrary distinguished point x_0). Then $\mathcal{F}(S, \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!)$ has the MAP.

To prove this we work mostly in $\text{Lip}_0(S)$. Outline of the proof:

- Define finite-rank operators $Q_n : \text{Lip}_0(S) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(S)$ by

$$Q_n(f)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(x) P_{n,i}(S_n(f))(x), \quad x \in S.$$

- By (2) and (3), there is a constant H (independent of n), such that

$$\|Q_n(f) - f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\! - \text{Lip}(Q_n(f)) \leq 1 + \frac{H}{n}$$

whenever $\|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\! - \text{Lip}(f) \leq 1$.

- The Q_n are dual operators; $\mathcal{F}(S, \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!)$ has the MAP by virtue of the corresponding predual operators.

Step 1: the mollifier operators S_n

Fix $\psi : \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ by $\psi(x) = x/\|x\|$. The next lemma follows because $\|\cdot\|$ is C^1 -smooth (note $\|\cdot\|$ -Lip(ψ) > 1 in general).

Step 1: the mollifier operators S_n

Fix $\psi : \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ by $\psi(x) = x/\|x\|$. The next lemma follows because $\|\cdot\|$ is C^1 -smooth (note $\|\cdot\|$ -Lip(ψ) > 1 in general).

Lemma

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|\psi(x+z) - \psi(y+z) - (x-y)\| \leq \varepsilon \|x-y\|$$

whenever $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\|x-y\|, \|z\| \leq \delta$.

Step 1: the mollifier operators S_n

Fix $\psi : \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow S$ by $\psi(x) = x/\|x\|$. The next lemma follows because $\|\cdot\|$ is C^1 -smooth (note $\|\cdot\|$ -Lip(ψ) > 1 in general).

Lemma

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|\psi(x+z) - \psi(y+z) - (x-y)\| \leq \varepsilon \|x-y\|$$

whenever $x, y \in S$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\|x-y\|, \|z\| \leq \delta$.

Given $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $f \in \text{Lip}_0(S)$, define the C^∞ -smooth map $\hat{f}_s : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\hat{f}_s(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta_s(z) f(\psi(x+z)) dz$$

where η_s is the standard C^∞ -smooth mollifier having support $\|z\|_2 \leq s$.

Step 1: the mollifier operators S_n

Fix $\psi : \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow S$ by $\psi(x) = x/\|x\|$. The next lemma follows because $\|\cdot\|$ is C^1 -smooth (note $\|\cdot\|$ -Lip(ψ) > 1 in general).

Lemma

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|\psi(x+z) - \psi(y+z) - (x-y)\| \leq \varepsilon \|x-y\|$$

whenever $x, y \in S$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\|x-y\|, \|z\| \leq \delta$.

Given $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $f \in \text{Lip}_0(S)$, define the C^∞ -smooth map $\hat{f}_s : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\hat{f}_s(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta_s(z) f(\psi(x+z)) dz$$

where η_s is the standard C^∞ -smooth mollifier having support $\|z\|_2 \leq s$.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define S_n on $\mathcal{F}(S)$ by $S_n(f)(x) = \hat{f}_{s_n}(x) - \hat{f}_{s_n}(x_0)$, $x \in S$. Here, s_n is chosen (using the above lemma) so that

$$\|S_n(f) - f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\cdot\| - \text{Lip}(S_n(f)) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Steps 2 and 3: the partition of unity and the $P_{n,i}$

Given $x \in S$, let $T_x : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \ker x^*$ be a $\|\cdot\|_2$ -isometry.

Steps 2 and 3: the partition of unity and the $P_{n,i}$

Given $x \in S$, let $T_x : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \ker x^*$ be a $\|\cdot\|_2$ -isometry.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\tilde{f}_{n,x} : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde{f}_{n,x}(u) = S_n(f)(\psi(x + T_x u)) = S_n(f)\left(\frac{x + T_x u}{\|x + T_x u\|}\right).$$

This function is C^1 -smooth on \mathbb{R}^{N-1} , being a composition of C^1 -smooth and C^∞ -smooth maps.

Steps 2 and 3: the partition of unity and the $P_{n,i}$

Given $x \in S$, let $T_x : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \ker x^*$ be a $\|\cdot\|_2$ -isometry.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\tilde{f}_{n,x} : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde{f}_{n,x}(u) = S_n(f)(\psi(x + T_x u)) = S_n(f)\left(\frac{x + T_x u}{\|x + T_x u\|}\right).$$

This function is C^1 -smooth on \mathbb{R}^{N-1} , being a composition of C^1 -smooth and C^∞ -smooth maps.

Using the C^1 -smoothness, we apply an ‘interpolation process’ to $\tilde{f}_{n,x}$ using finitely many of its values near $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, to produce a new map on a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ that approximates $\tilde{f}_{n,x}$ in both a uniform and Lipschitz sense.

Steps 2 and 3: the partition of unity and the $P_{n,i}$

Given $x \in S$, let $T_x : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \ker x^*$ be a $\|\cdot\|_2$ -isometry.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\tilde{f}_{n,x} : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde{f}_{n,x}(u) = S_n(f)(\psi(x + T_x u)) = S_n(f)\left(\frac{x + T_x u}{\|x + T_x u\|}\right).$$

This function is C^1 -smooth on \mathbb{R}^{N-1} , being a composition of C^1 -smooth and C^∞ -smooth maps.

Using the C^1 -smoothness, we apply an ‘interpolation process’ to $\tilde{f}_{n,x}$ using finitely many of its values near $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, to produce a new map on a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ that approximates $\tilde{f}_{n,x}$ in both a uniform and Lipschitz sense.

We apply the inverse of $u \mapsto \psi(x + T_x u)$ to obtain a further map that approximates $S_n(f)$ on a neighbourhood of $x \in S$.

Steps 2 and 3: the partition of unity and the $P_{n,i}$

Given $x \in S$, let $T_x : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \ker x^*$ be a $\|\cdot\|_2$ -isometry.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\tilde{f}_{n,x} : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde{f}_{n,x}(u) = S_n(f)(\psi(x + T_x u)) = S_n(f)\left(\frac{x + T_x u}{\|x + T_x u\|}\right).$$

This function is C^1 -smooth on \mathbb{R}^{N-1} , being a composition of C^1 -smooth and C^∞ -smooth maps.

Using the C^1 -smoothness, we apply an ‘interpolation process’ to $\tilde{f}_{n,x}$ using finitely many of its values near $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, to produce a new map on a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ that approximates $\tilde{f}_{n,x}$ in both a uniform and Lipschitz sense.

We apply the inverse of $u \mapsto \psi(x + T_x u)$ to obtain a further map that approximates $S_n(f)$ on a neighbourhood of $x \in S$.

We do this for every $x \in S$ (independently of n). This yields an open cover of S , from which we extract the partition of unity and the $P_{n,i}$.